## MAI0102 ENUMERATIVE COMBINATORICS, 2018 PROBLEM SET 5

Each problem is worth ten points. You may get partial credit for non-useless, non-perfect solutions. The problems are not ordered by difficulty. Hand in your solutions no later than December 6.

**1.** (This is closely related to Stanley's Exercise 3.57.) A poset P is a *forest* if  $I_x \cap I_y = \emptyset$  whenever  $x, y \in P$  are incomparable elements. (Here, we use the notation  $I_p = \{q \in P \mid q \leq p\}$  for  $p \in P$ .) Suppose P is a forest with n elements. Prove that

$$e(P) = \frac{n!}{\prod_{x \in P} |I_x|},$$

where e(P) denotes the number of linear extensions of P. Hint. A possible approach is to induct on |P|. If P does not have  $\hat{1}$ , P is a disjoint union of two smaller forests. Then use the result of Stanley's Example 3.5.4.

**2.** Let d(n) denote the dimension of the incidence algebra, i.e. the number of nonempty intervals, of the partition lattice  $\Pi_n$ . Show that the exponential generating function satisfies

$$\sum_{n \ge 0} d(n) \frac{x^n}{n!} = e^{e^{e^{x} - 1} - 1}.$$

Hint. Stanley's identity (1.94b) is probably useful more than once.

**3.** (This problem is posed in Stanley, page 264.) Let  $\eta$  be the element of the incidence algebra of a locally finite poset P defined by  $\eta(a, b) = 1$  if a is covered by b, and  $\eta(a, b) = 0$  otherwise. Prove that  $(1 - \eta)^{-1}(x, y)$  is the number of maximal chains in the interval [x, y].

4. A bit string (finite sequence of zeros and ones) is called *aperiodic* if it is not a power of a shorter string (string multiplication being concatenation). Thus, 110101 is aperiodic whereas  $110110 = (110)^2$  and  $101010 = (10)^3$  are not. Prove that every bit string is a power of a unique aperiodic string. In other words, show that if  $u^m = v^n$  for aperiodic bit strings u and v, then u = v (and m = n).

5. Let p(n) be the number of aperiodic bit strings on n bits. Show that

$$p(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d, n) 2^d,$$

where  $\mu$  denotes the Möbius function of the divisibility lattice  $D_n$ . You may use the result of the previous problem even if you did not solve it.