Lecture 2

1 Periodic problems and Fourier analysis

How should we define well-posedness? Consider the IVP (or Cauchy problem) in 1D (2π -periodic)

$$u_t = P(\partial/\partial x)u + F, u(x,0) = f(x),$$
(2.6)

 $P(\alpha u + \beta v) = \alpha P u + \beta P v$, Linear operator.

<u>Ex. 1:</u>

$$P = A\partial/\partial x; A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 4\\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$T^{-1}AT = \Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0\\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}, T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1\\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{-1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, T^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 1\\ \frac{1}{2} & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\text{Rewrite} \Rightarrow v = T^{-1}u, g = T^{-1}f \Rightarrow$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} v_t &=& \Lambda v_x \\ v(x,0) &=& g(x) \end{array}$$

Solution:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
v^{(1)} &=& g^{1}(x+2t) \\
v^{(2)} &=& g^{2}(x-2t) & \underline{\text{Exact solution.}} \\
||v|| &\leq& ||T^{-1}|| \; ||f|| \\
||u|| &\leq& ||T|| \; ||v|| &\leq& \underbrace{||T|| \; ||T^{-1}||}_{K=\text{condition number}} \; ||f||
\end{array}$$

<u>Ex. 2:</u>

$$\begin{array}{ll} u_t &=& \alpha u \\ u(x,0) &=& f(x) \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \underline{u = e^{\alpha t} f} \text{ exact sol., } \underline{\|u\| \le e^{\alpha t} \|f\|}$$

Definition of well-posedness

The problem (2.6) is well-posed if for F=0, there is a unique solution satisfying

$$\|u\| \le K e^{\alpha t} \|f\| \tag{2.7}$$

K and α are constants, No zero order terms, $\Rightarrow \alpha = 0$.

If a forcing function is present?

$$||u||^2 \le Ke^{2\alpha t} (||f||^2 + \int_0^t ||F||^2 dt)$$

Proof: Duhamel's principle, see GKO.

 \therefore Very important: Forcing functions do not influence well-posedness.

Existence is generally very difficult.

Uniqueness follows directly from the energy estimate.

Consider (2.6) with
$$P = \sum_{r=0}^{q} A_r \frac{\partial^r}{\partial x^r}$$
, F=0, $A_r = \text{constant matrics}$, $u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{u}_w e^{iwx}$, $u = 2\pi$ periodic.

$$\Rightarrow \hat{u} = e^{\hat{P}(iw)t}\hat{f}(w); \ \hat{P}(iw) = \sum_{r=0}^{q} A_r(iw)^r$$

 $\hat{P}(iw) =$ symbol or Fourier transform of P. $e^{\hat{P}(iw)t} =$ matrix exponential.

<u>Ex.:</u>

$$u_t + Au_x = Bu_{xx}; \ A, B = m \times m, \ P(iw) = -(iwA + w^2B)$$

 $\underline{\mathbf{Theory}}$ (2.6) is well-posed if and only if there are constants K and α such that

$$|e^{\hat{P}(iw)t}| \le K e^{\alpha t} \tag{2-9}$$

$$\underline{\text{Proof:}} \|u\|^2 = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{u}^2| = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |e^{\hat{P}(iw)t}\hat{f}|^2 \le |e^{\hat{P}(iw)t}|^2_{max} \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{f}|^2 = K^2 e^{2\alpha t} \|f\|^2 \blacksquare$$

Eigenvalus are easier to work with.

Def.: The Petrovski condition is satisfied if the eigenvalues $\lambda(w)$ of $\hat{P}(iw)$ satisfy

$$Re(\lambda(w)) \le \alpha$$
 (2.10)

 α = constant indep. of w, α =0 if no zero order terms.

Theorem The Petrovski condition is a necessary condition and sufficient if there is a constant K and matrix T(w) such that $T^{-1}(w)\hat{P}(iw)T(w)$ is diagonal and $|T^{-1}(w)||T(w)| \leq K$ for all w. Proof

$$\hat{u} = e^{\hat{P}t}\hat{f} = T[e^{-\Lambda t}]T^{-1}\hat{f};$$

$$\|u\|^{2} \leq \sum_{\infty}^{\infty} |T|^{2} |e^{\Lambda t}|^{2} |T^{-1}|^{2} |\hat{f}|^{2} \leq |T^{-1}|^{2} |T|^{2} \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |e^{\Lambda t}|^{2} |\hat{f}| \leq K^{2} |e^{\Lambda t}|^{2} |\|f\|^{2} \leq K^{2} e^{2\alpha t} \|f\|^{2} \quad \blacksquare$$

$$(|e^{\Lambda t}|^2 = \operatorname{diag}(e^{\lambda_1 t}, e^{\lambda_2 t}, ..., e^{\lambda_m t}) \le e^{2\alpha t})$$

Multiple dimensions, exactly similar.

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{define } \underbrace{x} = (x_0^1, x_1^2, ..., x^{\alpha})^T \\ \underbrace{w} = (w^1, w^2, ..., w^{\alpha})^T \\ \widehat{P}(i \underbrace{w}) \text{ is obtained by } \partial/\partial x^r \to i w^r \end{array}$

 $\underline{\mathbf{Ex}}$:

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \partial / \partial x + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \partial / \partial y \to \hat{p}(i\underline{w}) = i \begin{pmatrix} w^1 & w^2 \\ w^2 & w^1 \end{pmatrix}$$