

Examiner: Xiangfeng Yang (Tel: 013 28 57 88).

Things allowed (Hjälpmedel): a calculator.

Scores rating (Betygsgränser): 8-11 points giving rate 3; 11.5-14.5 points giving rate 4; 15-18 points giving rate 5.

Write down all necessary steps in solutions in order to receive as many points as possible.

1 (3 points)

David is working full time, but he still has 1 exam left at LiU in January 2025. If David stops working and focuses on preparing the exam, then he has 95% chance to pass the exam. If David continues working without focusing on preparing the exam, then he has 15% chance to pass the exam. Assume that David chooses to stop or continue working totally randomly. Given that David has passed the exam, find the probability that David actually continued working without focusing on preparing the exam.

Solution. Let us defined two events as follows

$$W = \{\text{David continuous working}\}, \quad G = \{\text{David passes the exam}\}.$$

It directly from the conditions that

$$P(W) = 50\%, \quad P(G|W) = 15\%, \quad P(G|W') = 95\%.$$

We want to find the probability $P(W|G)$.

$$P(W|G) = \frac{P(W \cap G)}{P(G)} = \frac{P(G|W) \cdot P(W)}{P(G)} = \frac{15\% \cdot 50\%}{55\%} = 0.13636,$$

where $P(G)$ is computed by the total probability formula as follows

$$P(G) = P(G|W) \cdot P(W) + P(G|W') \cdot P(W') = 15\% \cdot 50\% + 95\% \cdot 50\% = 55\%$$

□

2 (3 points)

Let (X, Y) be a two dimensional random variable with a joint probability mass function as follows:

$X \backslash Y$	5	6
1	0.3	0.1
9	0.2	c

The above table tells that X takes values 1 and 9, and Y takes values 5 and 6.

(2.1) (0.5p) Find the value of c .

(2.2) (0.5p) Find the probability $P(X + Y \leq 8)$.

(2.3) (1p) Find the mean $E(X)$ of X .

(2.4) (1p) Are X and Y independent? Why?

Solution. (2.1) The probabilities should add up to 1, therefore

$$1 = 0.3 + 0.1 + 0.2 + c \implies c = 0.4.$$

(2.2)

$$P(X + Y \leq 8) = p(1, 5) + p(1, 6) = 0.3 + 0.1 = 0.4.$$

(2.3) The marginal probability mass function of X can be easily derived as follows

X	1	9
$p(x)$	$0.3 + 0.1 = 0.4$	$0.2 + 0.4 = 0.6$

Therefore the mean is $E(X) = 1 \cdot 0.4 + 9 \cdot 0.6 = 5.8$.

(2.4) X and Y are NOT independent, because $p(x, y) \neq p_X(x) \cdot p_Y(y)$. For example,

$$0.3 = p(1, 5) \neq p_X(1) \cdot p_Y(5) = 0.4 \cdot (0.3 + 0.2) = 0.2.$$

□

3 (3 points)

Suppose that the tips (Svenska: dricks) that a waiter in a certain restaurant follow an exponential distribution $X \sim \text{Exp}(0.1)$ (that is, $E(X) = 1/0.1 = 10$). Assume that tips from customers are independent. Find the probability that a waiter receives at most 1300 SEK tips for the next 100 customers.

Solution. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{100} be the tips from the next 100 customers. Then according to the assumption $X \sim \text{Exp}(0.1)$, we know that

$$\mu = E(X_i) = 1/0.1 = 10, \quad \sigma^2 = V(X_i) = (1/0.1)^2 = 10^2.$$

$$\begin{aligned} P(\text{a waiter receives at most 1300 SEK tips for the next 100 customers}) &= P(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{100} \leq 1300) \\ &= P(\bar{X} \leq \frac{1300}{100}) = P\left(\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \leq \frac{13 - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}\right) \\ &= P(N(0, 1) \leq \frac{13 - 10}{10/\sqrt{100}}) = P(N(0, 1) \leq 3) = \Phi(3) = 0.9987. \end{aligned}$$

□

4 (3 points)

Let a population X be continuous with a probability density function $f(x) = \frac{1}{\theta}$ for $0 < x \leq \theta$, where $\theta > 0$ is an unknown parameter. Suppose that a sample $\{6.8, 7.5, 4.5, 8.2\}$ is taken from this population.

(4.1) (1p) Find a point estimate $\hat{\theta}_{MM}$ of θ based on the method of moments.

(4.2) (2p) Find a point estimate $\hat{\theta}_{ML}$ of θ based on the maximum-likelihood method.

Solution. (4.1) We compute the mean as follows

$$E(X) = \int_0^\theta x \cdot f(x) dx = \int_0^\theta x \cdot \frac{1}{\theta} dx = \frac{\theta}{2}.$$

Then the equation $E(X) = \bar{x}$ gives that

$$\hat{\theta}_{MM} = 2 \cdot \bar{x} = 2 \cdot \frac{6.8 + 7.5 + 4.5 + 8.2}{4} = 2 \cdot 6.75 = 13.5.$$

(4.2) The likelihood function is

$$L(\theta) = f(x_1) \cdot f(x_2) \cdot \dots \cdot f(x_n) = 1/\theta^n, \quad \text{for } \theta \geq \max\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}.$$

The non-trivial domain $\theta \geq \max\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of $L(\theta)$ is from the definition of $f(x)$. The derivative is $L'(\theta) = -n/\theta^{n+1} < 0$ for any $\theta \geq \max\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$. Since the derivative is always strictly negative, the function $L(\theta)$ is decreasing for all $\theta \geq \max\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$. This suggests that the maximum of $L(\theta)$ is reached when $\theta = \max\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$. Therefore

$$\hat{\theta}_{ML} = \max\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} = \max\{6.8, 7.5, 4.5, 8.2\} = 8.2.$$

□

5 (3 points)

One wants to investigate preference on Mobile operating systems in Sweden and Norway. Let p_1 denote the proportion of people using IOS in Sweden, and p_2 the proportion of people using IOS in Norway. To compare p_1 and p_2 , a sample of sample size 200 is taken from Sweden with 165 people using IOS, and a sample of sample size 120 is taken from Norway with 82 people using IOS. Do the samples provide any evidence that $p_1 > p_2$? Answer this question by constructing an appropriate one-sided 95% confidence interval of $p_1 - p_2$. (Hint: Do NOT use hypotheses testing!).

Solution. It is clear that

$$\hat{p}_1 = \frac{165}{200} = 0.825, \quad \hat{p}_2 = \frac{82}{120} = 0.683.$$

To check whether or not $p_1 > p_2$, we need to construct one-sided confidence interval as follows

$$\begin{aligned} I_{p_1-p_2} &= \left((\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2) - z_\alpha \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_1(1-\hat{p}_1)}{n_1} + \frac{\hat{p}_2(1-\hat{p}_2)}{n_2}}, \quad \infty \right) \\ &= \left((0.825 - 0.683) - 1.64 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{0.825(1-0.825)}{200} + \frac{0.683(1-0.683)}{120}}, \quad \infty \right) \\ &= (0.142 - 0.0824, \quad \infty) = (0.0596, \quad \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Since $0.0596 > 0$, it can be said that $p_1 > p_2$. □

6 (3 points)

Let Y denote the response variable representing whether or not a student passes the written exam of TAMS42 ($Y = 0$ if a student does not pass and $Y = 1$ if a student passes). It is suspected that Y depends on x_1 (how many hours attending lectures) and x_2 (how many hours reading book) through logistic regression, namely the probability $P(Y = 1) = p$ depends on x_1 and x_2 through the logit function $p(x_1, x_2) = \frac{e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2}}{1 + e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2}}$. A sample with sample size $n = 36$ has been analyzed using logistic regression and the results are: the standard error of $\hat{\beta}_i$ is denoted as $se(\hat{\beta}_i)$,

$$\hat{\beta}_0 = -2.255, \quad se(\hat{\beta}_0) = 0.22; \quad \hat{\beta}_1 = 0.318, \quad se(\hat{\beta}_1) = 0.141; \quad \hat{\beta}_2 = 0.055, \quad se(\hat{\beta}_2) = 0.012;$$

If the real value $\beta_1 \neq 0$, then one can think that Y does depend on x_1 . The same principle applies to Y and x_2 .

(6.1) (1p) Does the sample provide any evidence that $\beta_1 \neq 0$? Answer this using appropriate hypothesis test with a significance level 5%. (Hint: Do NOT use any confidence interval!)

(6.2) (1p) Does the sample provide any evidence that $\beta_2 > 0$? Answer this using appropriate hypothesis test with a significance level 5%. (Hint: Do NOT use any confidence interval!)

(6.3) (1p) David plans to spend 4 hours attending lectures and 10 hours reading book. Will David pass the written exam? Namely, should the corresponding Y with $x_1 = 4$ and $x_2 = 10$ be classified as 1 (passes the written exam) or 0 (does not pass the written exam)?

Solution. (6.1) The hypotheses are:

$$H_0 : \beta_1 = 0 \text{ against } H_a : \beta_1 \neq 0.$$

$$TS = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - 0}{se(\hat{\beta}_1)} = \frac{0.318}{0.141} = 2.255, \quad C = (-\infty, -z_{\alpha/2}) \cup (z_{\alpha/2}, +\infty) = (-\infty, -1.96) \cup (1.96, +\infty).$$

Since $TS \in C$, we reject H_0 (which means that $\beta_1 \neq 0$.)

(6.2) The hypotheses are:

$$H_0 : \beta_2 = 0 \text{ against } H_a : \beta_2 > 0.$$

$$TS = \frac{\hat{\beta}_2 - 0}{se(\hat{\beta}_2)} = \frac{0.055}{0.012} = 4.583, \quad C = (z_\alpha, +\infty) = (1.64, +\infty).$$

Since $TS \in C$, we reject H_0 (which means that $\beta_2 > 0$.)

(6.3) The estimated logit function is

$$\hat{p}(4, 10) = \frac{e^{-2.255+0.318 \cdot 4+0.055 \cdot 10}}{1 + e^{-2.255+0.318 \cdot 4+0.055 \cdot 10}} = \frac{e^{-0.433}}{1 + e^{-0.433}} = \frac{0.6486}{1.6486} = 0.39.$$

Since $\hat{p}(4, 10) < 0.5$, it is reasonable to classify $Y = 0$. (does not pass) □

1. Basic probability

- (1.1) Conditional probability $P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}$.
 (1.2) Total probability $P(B) = \sum_{i=1}^k P(B|A_i)P(A_i)$ where $\{A_i\}$ are disjoint and $\cup_{i=1}^k A_i = S$.
 (1.3) Bayes' Theorem $P(A_i|B) = \frac{P(B|A_i)P(A_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^k P(B|A_i)P(A_i)}$ where $\{A_i\}$ are in (1.2).

2. Random variables (r.v.s)

- (2.1) Discrete r.v. X has a pmf $p(x) = P(X = x)$ satisfying $p(x) \geq 0$ and $\sum p(x_i) = 1$,

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} X & x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_n & \cdots \\ \hline p(x) & p(x_1) & p(x_2) & \cdots & p(x_n) & \cdots \end{array}$$

- Expectation (or *Expected value* or *mean*) $\mu_X = E(X) = \sum x_i p(x_i)$;
 Variance $\sigma_X^2 = V(X) = E(X - \mu_X)^2 = E(X^2) - \mu_X^2 = \sum x_i^2 p(x_i) - (\sum x_i p(x_i))^2$.
 (2.2) Continuous r.v. X has a pdf $f(x)$ satisfying $f(x) \geq 0$ and $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx = 1$,

$$P(a < X < b) = \int_a^b f(x) dx.$$

Expectation (or *Expected value* or *mean*) $\mu_X = E(X) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f(x) dx$;

Variance $\sigma_X^2 = V(X) = E(X - \mu_X)^2 = E(X^2) - \mu_X^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 f(x) dx - (\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f(x) dx)^2$.

- (2.3) Cumulative distribution function (cdf) of a r.v. X is $F(x) = P(X \leq x)$.

- (2.4) X and Y are r.v.s, a, b and c are scalars, then

$$E(aX + bY + c) = aE(X) + bE(Y) + c,$$

$$V(aX + bY + c) = a^2 V(X) + b^2 V(Y) + 2ab \operatorname{cov}(X, Y),$$

$$E(g(X, Y)) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i,j} g(x_i, y_j) \cdot p(x_i, y_j), & \text{for discrete } (X, Y), \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x, y) \cdot f(x, y) dx dy, & \text{for continuous } (X, Y). \end{cases}$$

- (2.5) • Discrete r.v. (X, Y) has a joint pmf $p(x, y)$ satisfying $p(x, y) \geq 0$ and $\sum_{x_i} \sum_{y_j} p(x_i, y_j) = 1$.

The *marginal pmf* of X is $p_X(x) = \sum_y p(x, y)$;

The *marginal pmf* of Y is $p_Y(y) = \sum_x p(x, y)$;

X and Y are *independent* if $p(x, y) = p_X(x) \cdot p_Y(y)$.

- Continuous r.v. (X, Y) has a joint pdf $p(x, y)$ satisfying $f(x, y) \geq 0$ and $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) dx dy = 1$.

The *marginal pdf* of X is $f_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) dy$;

The *marginal pdf* of Y is $f_Y(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) dx$;

X and Y are *independent* if $f(x, y) = f_X(x) \cdot f_Y(y)$.

3. Several special r.v.s

- (3.1) $X \sim \operatorname{Bin}(n, p)$ has a pmf $p(x) = P(X = x) = \binom{n}{x} \cdot p^x \cdot (1-p)^{n-x}$, $x = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$.

$$E(X) = n \cdot p, \quad V(X) = n \cdot p \cdot (1-p).$$

- (3.2) $X \sim \operatorname{Po}(\lambda)$ has a pmf $p(x) = P(X = x) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x}{x!}$, $x = 0, 1, 2, \dots$
 $E(X) = \lambda, \quad V(X) = \lambda$.

- (3.3) $X \sim \operatorname{Hypergeometric}$ has a pmf $p(x) = P(X = x) = \frac{\binom{M}{x} \binom{N-M}{n-x}}{\binom{N}{n}}$.

- (3.4) $X \sim \operatorname{Exp}(\lambda)$ has a pdf

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \lambda e^{-\lambda x}, & x \geq 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- (3.5) $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ has a pdf

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}, \quad -\infty < x < \infty.$$

- (3.6) $X \sim U(a, b)$ has a pdf

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{b-a}, & a < x < b, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$E(X) = \frac{a+b}{2}, \quad V(X) = \frac{(b-a)^2}{12}.$$

4. Central Limit Theorem (CLT)

Suppose that a population has mean $= \mu$ and variance $= \sigma^2$. A random sample $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$ from this population is given. Then for large $n \geq 30$,

$$\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \sim N(0, 1). \tag{1}$$

- If the population is normal, then (1) holds for any n .
- Note that $\mu = E(\bar{X})$ and $(\sigma/\sqrt{n})^2 = V(\bar{X})$.

5. Several notations in statistics

- (5.1) Sample mean: $\bar{X} = \frac{X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n}{n} = \sum \frac{X_i}{n}$; $\bar{x} = \frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{n} = \sum \frac{x_i}{n}$.

- (5.2) Sample variance:

$$S^2 = \frac{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{n-1} = \frac{1}{n-1} \left(\sum X_i^2 - \frac{(\sum X_i)^2}{n} \right); \quad s^2 = \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{n-1} = \frac{1}{n-1} \left(\sum x_i^2 - \frac{(\sum x_i)^2}{n} \right).$$

- Capital letters \bar{X} and S^2 refer to the objects based on random sample (therefore they are in general r.v.s), while small letters \bar{x} and s^2 are the objects based on observations (so they are scalars).

- (5.3) A point estimator of θ obtained by Methods of Moments is denoted as $\hat{\theta}_{MM}$.

- (5.4) A point estimator of θ obtained by Maximum Likelihood method is denoted as $\hat{\theta}_{ML}$.

6. Confidence Interval (CI)

In this course, three types of confidence intervals are studied depending on the unknown population parameter(s): CI-1 (confidence intervals for population mean(s)), CI-2 (confidence intervals for population variance(s)), and CI-3 (confidence intervals for population proportion(s)).

CI-1: (1 - α) CI of a population mean μ

case 1.1 (any n) If population $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ and σ^2 is known, then $\frac{\bar{X}-\mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \sim N(0, 1)$ and

$$I_\mu = (\bar{x} - z_{\alpha/2} \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{x} + z_{\alpha/2} \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}) := \bar{x} \mp z_{\alpha/2} \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

case 1.2 (n ≥ 30) For any population X , it holds that $\frac{\bar{X}-\mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \sim N(0, 1)$ and

$$I_\mu = \bar{x} \mp z_{\alpha/2} \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \text{ or } I_\mu = \bar{x} \mp z_{\alpha/2} \cdot \frac{\hat{\sigma}}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

case 1.3 (any n) If population $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ and σ^2 is unknown, then $\frac{\bar{X}-\mu}{S/\sqrt{n}} \sim T(n-1)$ and

$$I_\mu = \bar{x} \mp t_{\alpha/2}(n-1) \cdot \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

CI-1': (1 - α) CI of the difference of two population means $\mu_X - \mu_Y$

case 1.1' (any n_1, n_2) If independent populations $X \sim N(\mu_X, \sigma_X^2)$, $Y \sim N(\mu_Y, \sigma_Y^2)$, and σ_X^2, σ_Y^2 are known, then

$$\frac{(\bar{X}-\bar{Y})-(\mu_X-\mu_Y)}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_X^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_Y^2}{n_2}}} \sim N(0, 1), \text{ and } I_{\mu_X-\mu_Y} = (\bar{x}-\bar{y}) \mp z_{\alpha/2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_X^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_Y^2}{n_2}}.$$

case 1.2' ($n_1, n_2 \geq 30$) For any independent populations X and Y , it holds that


$$\frac{(\bar{X}-\bar{Y})-(\mu_X-\mu_Y)}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_X^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_Y^2}{n_2}}} \sim N(0, 1) \text{ and}$$

$$I_{\mu_X-\mu_Y} = (\bar{x}-\bar{y}) \mp z_{\alpha/2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_X^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_Y^2}{n_2}} \text{ or } I_{\mu_X-\mu_Y} = (\bar{x}-\bar{y}) \mp z_{\alpha/2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\hat{\sigma}_X^2}{n_1} + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_Y^2}{n_2}}.$$

case 1.3' (any n_1, n_2) If independent populations $X \sim N(\mu_X, \sigma_X^2)$, $Y \sim N(\mu_Y, \sigma_Y^2)$, where σ_X^2, σ_Y^2 are unknown but $\sigma_X^2 = \sigma_Y^2$, then

$$\frac{(\bar{X}-\bar{Y})-(\mu_X-\mu_Y)}{S\sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}} \sim T(n_1+n_2-2), \text{ where } S^2 = \frac{(n_1-1)S_X^2 + (n_2-1)S_Y^2}{n_1+n_2-2}, \text{ and}$$

$$I_{\mu_X-\mu_Y} = (\bar{x}-\bar{y}) \mp t_{\alpha/2}(n_1+n_2-2) \cdot s\sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}.$$



CI-2: (1 - α) CI of population variance(s) σ^2


• If a population $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ and σ^2 is unknown, then $\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(n-1)$, and

$$I_{\sigma^2} = \left(\frac{(n-1)s^2}{\chi_{\alpha/2}^2(n-1)}, \frac{(n-1)s^2}{\chi_{1-\alpha/2}^2(n-1)} \right).$$

• If two independent populations $X \sim N(\mu_X, \sigma^2)$ and $Y \sim N(\mu_Y, \sigma^2)$, and σ^2 is unknown, then $\frac{(n_1+n_2-2)S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(n_1+n_2-2)$, and

$$I_{\sigma^2} = \left(\frac{(n_1+n_2-2)s^2}{\chi_{\alpha/2}^2(n_1+n_2-2)}, \frac{(n_1+n_2-2)s^2}{\chi_{1-\alpha/2}^2(n_1+n_2-2)} \right),$$

where $S^2 = \frac{(n_1-1)S_X^2 + (n_2-1)S_Y^2}{n_1+n_2-2}$.



CI-3: (1 - α) CI of population proportion(s)

• If a (large) population has an unknown proportion p , then $\frac{\hat{p}-p}{\sqrt{p(1-p)/n}} \sim N(0, 1)$ if $n\hat{p} \geq 10$ and $n(1-\hat{p}) \geq 10$ with $\hat{p} = x/n$, and $I_p = \hat{p} \mp z_{\alpha/2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}}$.

• If two independent (large) populations have unknown proportions p_1 and p_2 , then

$$\frac{(\hat{p}_1-\hat{p}_2)-(p_1-p_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_1(1-\hat{p}_1)}{n_1} + \frac{\hat{p}_2(1-\hat{p}_2)}{n_2}}} \sim N(0, 1)$$

if $n_i\hat{p}_i \geq 10$ and $n_i(1-\hat{p}_i) \geq 10$ for $i = 1, 2$, and $I_{p_1-p_2} = (\hat{p}_1-\hat{p}_2) \mp z_{\alpha/2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_1(1-\hat{p}_1)}{n_1} + \frac{\hat{p}_2(1-\hat{p}_2)}{n_2}}$.

7. Hypothesis Test (HT)

	H_0 is true	H_0 is false and $\theta = \theta_1$
reject H_0	(type I error or significance level) α	(power) $h(\theta_1)$
don't reject H_0	$1 - \alpha$	(type II error) $\beta(\theta_1) = 1 - h(\theta_1)$

reject $H_0 \Leftrightarrow TS \in C \Leftrightarrow p\text{-value} < \alpha$

χ^2 tests for populations (non-parametric tests)

Suppose that for a random sample of a population X , the n elements of it are classified into k disjoint groups $A_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$. For each group $A_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$, suppose that there are $N_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$ elements inside. Let $p_i = P(A_i)$ assuming a given distribution of X . Note that $p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_k = 1$ and $N_1 + N_2 + \dots + N_k = n$. One wants to test the hypotheses

$$H_0 : P(A_i) = p_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k, \quad H_a : P(A_i) \neq p_i \text{ for some } 1 \leq i \leq k.$$

If n is large in the sense that $np_i \geq 5$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$, then the test statistic is

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(N_i - np_i)^2}{np_i} \approx \chi^2(k-1).$$

Therefore the observation of the test statistic is

$$TS = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(n_i - np_i)^2}{np_i}, \text{ where } n_i \text{ is the observation of } N_i, 1 \leq i \leq k.$$

For the critical region C , one can take (note that if H_0 is true, then TS should be close to zero)

$$C = (\chi_{\alpha}^2(k-1), \infty).$$

The conclusion would be $TS \in C \iff H_0$ is rejected.

8. Linear and logistic regression

(Multiple) linear regression: $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k + \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$.

- Y : response variable (which is normal r.v.), $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$: predictors (which are scalars).
- sample: $\{(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1k}; y_1), (x_{21}, \dots, x_{2k}; y_2), \dots, (x_{n1}, \dots, x_{nk}; y_n)\}$.
- how to estimate $\beta_j \approx \hat{\beta}_j$: least square method, that is, to minimize $\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$, where the estimated (multiple) linear regression line \hat{y} is

$$\hat{y} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_1 + \dots + \hat{\beta}_k x_k.$$

- $\frac{\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_j}{se(\hat{\beta}_j)} \sim T(n-k-1)$, this helps determine whether or not the real $\beta_j = 0$?
- $\sigma^2 \approx \frac{SSE}{n-k-1}$, this gives an estimation of the size of the error.
- $R^2 = \frac{SSR}{SSY}$, this gives how well the model is (if $R^2 \approx 1$, then the model fits the sample very well).
- How to test $\beta_1 = \dots = \beta_k = 0$? Use the random variable $\frac{SSR/k}{SSE/(n-k-1)} \sim F(k, n-k-1)$.

Logistic regression: Let Y can only take 0 or 1 with $P(Y=1) = p$ and $P(Y=0) = 1-p$.

$$E(Y) = p(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \frac{e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k}}{1 + e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k}}.$$

- Y : response variable (which is Bernoulli r.v. $P(Y=1) = p$ and $P(Y=0) = 1-p$, so $E(Y) = p$), $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$: predictors (which are scalars).
- sample: $\{(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1k}; y_1), (x_{21}, \dots, x_{2k}; y_2), \dots, (x_{n1}, \dots, x_{nk}; y_n)\}$.
- how to estimate $\beta_j \approx \hat{\beta}_j$: maximal likelihood method (maximize $\prod_{i=1}^n p(x_{i1}, \dots, x_{ik})^{y_i} (1 - p(x_{i1}, \dots, x_{ik}))^{1-y_i}$).
- $\frac{\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_j}{se(\hat{\beta}_j)} \approx N(0, 1)$ for large $n \geq 30$, this helps determine whether or not the real $\beta_j = 0$?
- Classification of a new object $Y(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ as 1 or 0 according

$$Y(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \hat{p}(x_1, \dots, x_k) \geq 0.5, \\ 0, & \text{if } \hat{p}(x_1, \dots, x_k) < 0.5, \end{cases}$$

where the estimated logit function $\hat{p}(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ is

$$\hat{p}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \frac{e^{\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_1 + \dots + \hat{\beta}_k x_k}}{1 + e^{\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_1 + \dots + \hat{\beta}_k x_k}}.$$

9. Tables

(9.1) Table for $N(0, 1)$ standard normal random variable $\Phi(x) = P(N(0, 1) \leq x)$, $x \geq 0$.
There is an important relation $\Phi(-x) = 1 - \Phi(x)$, $x \geq 0$.

x	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0.0	0.5000	0.5040	0.5080	0.5120	0.5160	0.5199	0.5239	0.5279	0.5319	0.5359
0.1	0.5398	0.5438	0.5478	0.5517	0.5557	0.5596	0.5636	0.5675	0.5714	0.5753
0.2	0.5793	0.5832	0.5871	0.5910	0.5948	0.5987	0.6026	0.6064	0.6103	0.6141
0.3	0.6179	0.6217	0.6255	0.6293	0.6331	0.6368	0.6406	0.6443	0.6480	0.6517
0.4	0.6554	0.6591	0.6628	0.6664	0.6700	0.6736	0.6772	0.6808	0.6844	0.6879
0.5	0.6915	0.6950	0.6985	0.7019	0.7054	0.7088	0.7123	0.7157	0.7190	0.7224
0.6	0.7257	0.7291	0.7324	0.7357	0.7389	0.7422	0.7454	0.7486	0.7517	0.7549
0.7	0.7580	0.7611	0.7642	0.7673	0.7704	0.7734	0.7764	0.7794	0.7823	0.7852
0.8	0.7881	0.7910	0.7939	0.7967	0.7995	0.8023	0.8051	0.8078	0.8106	0.8133
0.9	0.8159	0.8186	0.8212	0.8238	0.8264	0.8289	0.8315	0.8340	0.8365	0.8389
1.0	0.8413	0.8438	0.8461	0.8485	0.8508	0.8531	0.8554	0.8577	0.8599	0.8621
1.1	0.8643	0.8665	0.8686	0.8708	0.8729	0.8749	0.8770	0.8790	0.8810	0.8830
1.2	0.8849	0.8869	0.8888	0.8907	0.8925	0.8944	0.8962	0.8980	0.8997	0.9015
1.3	0.9032	0.9049	0.9066	0.9082	0.9099	0.9115	0.9131	0.9147	0.9162	0.9177
1.4	0.9192	0.9207	0.9222	0.9236	0.9251	0.9265	0.9279	0.9292	0.9306	0.9319
1.5	0.9332	0.9345	0.9357	0.9370	0.9382	0.9394	0.9406	0.9418	0.9429	0.9441
1.6	0.9452	0.9463	0.9474	0.9484	0.9495	0.9505	0.9515	0.9525	0.9535	0.9545
1.7	0.9564	0.9564	0.9573	0.9582	0.9591	0.9599	0.9608	0.9616	0.9625	0.9633
1.8	0.9641	0.9649	0.9656	0.9664	0.9671	0.9678	0.9686	0.9693	0.9699	0.9706
1.9	0.9713	0.9719	0.9726	0.9732	0.9738	0.9744	0.9750	0.9756	0.9761	0.9767
2.0	0.9772	0.9778	0.9783	0.9788	0.9793	0.9798	0.9803	0.9808	0.9812	0.9817
2.1	0.9821	0.9826	0.9830	0.9834	0.9838	0.9842	0.9846	0.9850	0.9854	0.9857
2.2	0.9861	0.9864	0.9868	0.9871	0.9875	0.9878	0.9881	0.9884	0.9887	0.9890
2.3	0.9893	0.9896	0.9898	0.9901	0.9904	0.9906	0.9909	0.9911	0.9913	0.9916
2.4	0.9918	0.9920	0.9922	0.9925	0.9927	0.9929	0.9931	0.9932	0.9934	0.9936
2.5	0.9938	0.9940	0.9941	0.9943	0.9945	0.9946	0.9948	0.9949	0.9951	0.9952
2.6	0.9953	0.9955	0.9956	0.9957	0.9959	0.9960	0.9961	0.9962	0.9963	0.9964
2.7	0.9965	0.9966	0.9967	0.9968	0.9969	0.9970	0.9971	0.9972	0.9973	0.9974
2.8	0.9974	0.9975	0.9976	0.9977	0.9977	0.9978	0.9979	0.9979	0.9980	0.9981
2.9	0.9981	0.9982	0.9982	0.9983	0.9984	0.9984	0.9985	0.9985	0.9986	0.9986
3.0	0.9987	0.9987	0.9987	0.9988	0.9988	0.9989	0.9989	0.9989	0.9990	0.9990
3.1	0.9990	0.9991	0.9991	0.9991	0.9992	0.9992	0.9992	0.9993	0.9993	0.9993
3.2	0.9993	0.9993	0.9994	0.9994	0.9994	0.9994	0.9994	0.9995	0.9995	0.9995
3.3	0.9995	0.9995	0.9995	0.9996	0.9996	0.9996	0.9996	0.9996	0.9997	0.9997
3.4	0.9997	0.9997	0.9997	0.9997	0.9997	0.9997	0.9997	0.9998	0.9998	0.9998
3.5	0.9998	0.9998	0.9998	0.9998	0.9998	0.9998	0.9998	0.9998	0.9998	0.9998
3.6	0.9998	0.9998	0.9998	0.9999	0.9999	0.9999	0.9999	0.9999	0.9999	0.9999
3.7	0.9999	0.9999	0.9999	0.9999	0.9999	0.9999	0.9999	0.9999	0.9999	0.9999
3.8	0.9999	0.9999	0.9999	0.9999	0.9999	0.9999	0.9999	0.9999	0.9999	0.9999
3.9	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
4.0	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000

(9.2) Table for $T(f)$ random variable $F(x) = P(T(f) \leq x)$,
where f is a parameter called 'degrees of freedom'.

f	0.75	0.90	0.95	0.975	0.99	0.995	0.9975	0.9995
1	1.00	3.08	6.31	12.71	31.82	63.66	127.32	636.62
2	0.82	1.89	2.92	4.30	6.96	9.92	14.09	31.60
3	0.76	1.64	2.35	3.18	4.54	5.84	7.45	12.92
4	0.74	1.53	2.13	2.78	3.75	4.60	5.60	8.61
5	0.73	1.48	2.02	2.57	3.36	4.03	4.77	6.87
6	0.72	1.44	1.94	2.45	3.14	3.71	4.32	5.96
7	0.71	1.41	1.89	2.36	3.00	3.50	4.03	5.41
8	0.71	1.40	1.86	2.31	2.90	3.36	3.83	5.04
9	0.70	1.38	1.83	2.26	2.82	3.25	3.69	4.78
10	0.70	1.37	1.81	2.23	2.76	3.17	3.58	4.59
11	0.70	1.36	1.80	2.20	2.72	3.11	3.50	4.44
12	0.70	1.36	1.78	2.18	2.68	3.05	3.43	4.32
13	0.69	1.35	1.77	2.16	2.65	3.01	3.37	4.22
14	0.69	1.35	1.76	2.14	2.62	2.98	3.33	4.14
15	0.69	1.34	1.75	2.13	2.60	2.95	3.29	4.07
16	0.69	1.34	1.75	2.12	2.58	2.92	3.25	4.01
17	0.69	1.33	1.74	2.11	2.57	2.90	3.22	3.97
18	0.69	1.33	1.73	2.10	2.55	2.88	3.20	3.92
19	0.69	1.33	1.73	2.09	2.54	2.86	3.17	3.88
20	0.69	1.33	1.72	2.09	2.53	2.85	3.15	3.85
21	0.69	1.32	1.72	2.08	2.52	2.83	3.14	3.82
22	0.69	1.32	1.72	2.07	2.51	2.82	3.12	3.79
23	0.69	1.32	1.71	2.07	2.50	2.81	3.10	3.77
24	0.68	1.32	1.71	2.06	2.49	2.80	3.09	3.75
25	0.68	1.32	1.71	2.06	2.49	2.79	3.08	3.73
26	0.68	1.31	1.71	2.06	2.48	2.78	3.07	3.71
27	0.68	1.31	1.70	2.05	2.47	2.77	3.06	3.69
28	0.68	1.31	1.70	2.05	2.47	2.76	3.05	3.67
29	0.68	1.31	1.70	2.05	2.46	2.76	3.04	3.66
30	0.68	1.31	1.70	2.04	2.46	2.75	3.03	3.65
40	0.68	1.30	1.68	2.02	2.42	2.70	2.97	3.55
50	0.68	1.30	1.68	2.01	2.40	2.68	2.94	3.50
60	0.68	1.30	1.67	2.00	2.39	2.66	2.91	3.46
100	0.68	1.29	1.66	1.98	2.36	2.63	2.87	3.39
∞	0.67	1.28	1.65	1.96	2.33	2.58	2.81	3.29

(9.3) Table for $\chi^2(f)$ random variable $F(x) = P(\chi^2(f) \leq x)$, where f is a parameter.

f	0.0005	0.001	0.005	0.01	0.025	0.05	0.10	0.20	0.30	0.40	0.50
1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.06	0.15	0.27	0.45
2	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.10	0.21	0.45	0.71	1.02	1.39
3	0.02	0.02	0.07	0.11	0.22	0.35	0.58	1.01	1.42	1.87	2.37
4	0.06	0.09	0.21	0.30	0.48	0.71	1.06	1.65	2.19	2.75	3.36
5	0.16	0.21	0.41	0.55	0.83	1.15	1.61	2.34	3.00	3.66	4.35
6	0.30	0.38	0.68	0.87	1.24	1.64	2.20	3.07	3.83	4.57	5.35
7	0.48	0.60	0.99	1.24	1.69	2.17	2.73	3.82	4.67	5.49	6.35
8	0.71	0.86	1.34	1.65	2.18	2.73	3.49	4.59	5.53	6.42	7.34
9	0.97	1.15	1.73	2.09	2.70	3.33	4.17	5.38	6.39	7.36	8.34
10	1.26	1.48	2.16	2.56	3.25	3.94	4.87	6.18	7.27	8.30	9.34
11	1.59	1.83	2.60	3.05	3.82	4.57	5.58	6.99	8.15	9.24	10.34
12	1.93	2.21	3.07	3.57	4.40	5.23	6.30	7.81	9.03	10.18	11.34
13	2.31	2.62	3.57	4.11	5.01	5.89	7.04	8.63	9.93	11.13	12.34
14	2.70	3.04	4.07	4.66	5.63	6.57	7.79	9.47	10.82	12.08	13.34
15	3.11	3.48	4.60	5.23	6.26	7.26	8.55	10.31	11.72	13.03	14.34
16	3.54	3.94	5.14	5.81	6.91	7.96	9.31	11.15	12.62	13.98	15.34
17	3.98	4.42	5.70	6.41	7.56	8.67	10.09	12.00	13.53	14.94	16.34
18	4.44	4.90	6.26	7.01	8.23	9.39	10.86	12.86	14.44	15.89	17.34
19	4.91	5.41	6.84	7.63	8.91	10.12	11.65	13.72	15.35	16.85	18.34
20	5.40	5.92	7.43	8.26	9.59	10.85	12.44	14.58	16.27	17.81	19.34
21	5.90	6.45	8.03	8.90	10.28	11.59	13.24	15.44	17.18	18.77	20.34
22	6.40	6.98	8.64	9.54	10.98	12.34	14.04	16.31	18.10	19.73	21.34
23	6.92	7.53	9.26	10.20	11.69	13.09	14.85	17.19	19.02	20.69	22.34
24	7.45	8.08	9.89	10.86	12.40	13.85	15.66	18.06	19.94	21.65	23.34
25	7.99	8.65	10.52	11.52	13.12	14.61	16.47	18.94	20.87	22.62	24.34
26	8.54	9.22	11.16	12.20	13.84	15.38	17.29	19.82	21.79	23.58	25.34
27	9.09	9.80	11.81	12.88	14.57	16.15	18.11	20.70	22.72	24.54	26.34
28	9.66	10.39	12.46	13.56	15.31	16.93	18.94	21.59	23.65	25.51	27.34
29	10.23	10.99	13.12	14.26	16.05	17.71	19.77	22.48	24.58	26.48	28.34
30	10.80	11.59	13.79	14.95	16.79	18.49	20.60	23.36	25.51	27.44	29.34
40	16.91	17.92	20.71	22.16	24.43	26.51	29.05	32.34	34.87	37.13	39.34
50	23.46	24.67	27.99	29.71	32.36	34.76	37.69	41.45	46.86	49.33	51.33
60	30.34	31.74	35.53	37.48	40.48	43.19	46.46	50.64	53.81	56.62	59.33
100	59.90	61.92	67.33	70.06	74.22	77.93	82.36	87.95	92.13	95.81	99.33

Table for $\chi^2(f)$ random variable $F(x) = P(\chi^2(f) \leq x)$, where f is a parameter.

f	0.60	0.70	0.80	0.90	0.95	0.975	0.99	0.995	0.999	0.9995
1	0.71	1.07	1.64	2.71	3.84	5.02	6.63	7.88	10.83	12.12
2	1.83	2.41	3.22	4.61	5.99	7.38	9.21	10.60	13.82	15.20
3	2.95	3.66	4.64	6.25	7.81	9.35	11.34	12.84	16.27	17.73
4	4.04	4.88	5.99	7.78	9.49	11.14	13.28	14.86	18.47	20.00
5	5.13	6.06	7.29	9.24	11.07	12.83	15.09	16.75	20.52	22.11
6	6.21	7.23	8.56	10.64	12.59	14.45	16.81	18.55	22.46	24.10
7	7.28	8.38	9.80	12.02	14.07	16.01	18.48	20.28	24.32	26.02
8	8.35	9.52	11.03	13.36	15.51	17.53	20.09	21.95	26.12	27.87
9	9.41	10.66	12.24	14.68	16.92	19.02	21.67	23.59	27.88	29.67
10	10.47	11.78	13.44	15.99	18.31	20.48	23.21	25.19	29.59	31.42
11	11.53	12.90	14.63	17.28	19.68	21.92	24.72	26.76	31.26	33.14
12	12.58	14.01	15.81	18.55	21.03	23.34	26.22	28.30	32.91	34.82
13	13.64	15.12	16.98	19.81	22.36	24.74	27.69	29.82	34.53	36.48
14	14.69	16.22	18.15	21.06	23.68	26.12	29.14	31.32	36.12	38.11
15	15.73	17.32	19.31	22.31	25.00	27.49	30.58	32.80	37.70	39.72
16	16.78	18.42	20.47	23.54	26.30	28.85	32.00	34.27	39.25	41.31
17	17.82	19.51	21.61	24.77	27.59	30.19	33.41	35.72	40.79	42.88
18	18.87	20.60	22.76	25.99	28.87	31.53	34.81	37.16	42.31	44.43
19	19.91	21.69	23.90	27.20	30.14	32.85	36.19	38.58	43.82	45.97
20	20.95	22.77	25.04	28.41	31.41	34.17	37.57	40.00	45.31	47.50
21	21.99	23.86	26.17	29.62	32.67	35.48	38.93	41.40	46.80	49.01
22	23.03	24.94	27.30	30.81	33.92	36.78	40.29	42.80	48.27	50.51
23	24.07	26.02	28.43	32.01	35.17	38.08	41.64	44.18	49.73	52.00
24	25.11	27.10	29.55	33.20	36.42	39.36	42.98	45.56	51.18	53.48
25	26.14	28.17	30.68	34.38	37.65	40.65	44.31	46.93	52.62	54.95
26	27.18	29.25	31.79	35.56	38.89	41.92	45.64	48.29	54.05	56.41
27	28.21	30.32	32.91	36.74	40.11	43.19	46.96	49.64	55.48	57.86
28	29.25	31.39	34.03	37.92	41.34	44.46	48.28	50.99	56.89	59.30
29	30.28	32.46	35.14	39.09	42.56	45.72	49.59	52.34	58.30	60.73
30	31.32	33.53	36.25	40.26	43.77	46.98	50.89	53.67	59.70	62.16
40	41.62	44.16	47.27	51.81	55.76	59.34	63.69	66.77	73.40	76.09
50	51.89	54.72	58.16	63.17	67.50	71.42	76.15	79.49	86.66	89.56
60	62.13	65.23	68.97	74.40	79.08	83.30	88.38	91.95	99.61	102.69
100	102.95	106.91	111.67	118.50	124.34	129.56	135.81	140.17	149.45	153.17

(9.4) Table for Binomial random variable $P(Bin(n, p) \leq k)$ if $p \leq 0.5$.
 If $p > 0.5$, then $P(Bin(n, p) \leq k) = P(Bin(n, 1 - p) \geq n - k)$.

n	k	0.05	0.10	0.15	0.20	0.25	0.30	0.35	0.40	0.45	0.50
2	0	0.9025	0.8100	0.7225	0.6400	0.5625	0.4900	0.4225	0.3600	0.3025	0.2500
	1	0.9975	0.9900	0.9775	0.9600	0.9375	0.9100	0.8775	0.8400	0.7975	0.7500
3	0	0.8574	0.7290	0.6141	0.5120	0.4219	0.3430	0.2746	0.2160	0.1664	0.1250
	1	0.9928	0.9720	0.9392	0.8960	0.8438	0.7840	0.7183	0.6480	0.5747	0.5000
4	0	0.8145	0.6561	0.5220	0.4096	0.3164	0.2401	0.1785	0.1256	0.0915	0.0625
	1	0.9860	0.9477	0.8905	0.8192	0.7383	0.6517	0.5630	0.4735	0.3910	0.3125
5	0	0.7738	0.5905	0.4437	0.3277	0.2373	0.1681	0.1160	0.0778	0.0503	0.0313
	1	0.9774	0.9185	0.8352	0.7373	0.6328	0.5282	0.4284	0.3370	0.2562	0.1875
6	0	0.7351	0.5314	0.3771	0.2621	0.1780	0.1176	0.0754	0.0467	0.0277	0.0156
	1	0.9672	0.8847	0.7765	0.6554	0.5339	0.4202	0.3191	0.2333	0.1636	0.1094
7	0	0.6983	0.4783	0.3206	0.2097	0.1335	0.0824	0.0490	0.0280	0.0152	0.0078
	1	0.9566	0.8503	0.7166	0.5767	0.4449	0.3294	0.2338	0.1586	0.1024	0.0625
8	0	0.6634	0.4305	0.2725	0.1678	0.1001	0.0576	0.0319	0.0168	0.0084	0.0039
	1	0.9428	0.8131	0.6572	0.5033	0.3671	0.2553	0.1691	0.1064	0.0632	0.0352
9	0	0.6302	0.3874	0.2316	0.1342	0.0751	0.0404	0.0207	0.0101	0.0046	0.0020
	1	0.9288	0.7748	0.5995	0.4362	0.3003	0.1960	0.1211	0.0705	0.0385	0.0195

Table for Binomial random variable $P(Bin(n, p) \leq k)$ if $p \leq 0.5$.
 If $p > 0.5$, then $P(Bin(n, p) \leq k) = P(Bin(n, 1 - p) \geq n - k)$.

n	k	0.05	0.10	0.15	0.20	0.25	0.30	0.35	0.40	0.45	0.50
10	0	0.5987	0.3487	0.1969	0.1074	0.0563	0.0282	0.0135	0.0060	0.0025	0.0010
	1	0.9139	0.7361	0.5443	0.3758	0.2440	0.1493	0.0860	0.0464	0.0233	0.0107
11	0	0.5688	0.3138	0.1673	0.0859	0.0422	0.0198	0.0088	0.0036	0.0014	0.0005
	1	0.8981	0.6974	0.4922	0.3221	0.1971	0.1130	0.0606	0.0302	0.0139	0.0059
12	0	0.5404	0.2824	0.1422	0.0687	0.0317	0.0138	0.0057	0.0022	0.0008	0.0002
	1	0.8816	0.6590	0.4435	0.2749	0.1584	0.0850	0.0424	0.0196	0.0083	0.0032

(9.5) Table for Poisson random variable $P(Po(\mu) \leq k)$.

k	μ									
	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.0
0	0.9048	0.8187	0.7408	0.6703	0.6065	0.5488	0.4966	0.4493	0.4066	0.3679
1	0.9953	0.9825	0.9631	0.9384	0.9098	0.8781	0.8442	0.8088	0.7725	0.7358
2	0.9998	0.9989	0.9964	0.9921	0.9856	0.9769	0.9659	0.9526	0.9371	0.9197
3	1.0000	0.9999	0.9997	0.9992	0.9982	0.9966	0.9942	0.9909	0.9865	0.9810
4	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.9999	0.9998	0.9996	0.9992	0.9986	0.9977	0.9963
5	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.9999	0.9998	0.9997	0.9994
6	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.9999	0.9999
7	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
8	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
9	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
10	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
11	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
12	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000

Table for Poisson random variable $P(Po(\mu) \leq k)$.

k	μ									
	3.2	3.4	3.6	3.8	4.0	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.8	5.0
0	0.0408	0.0334	0.0273	0.0224	0.0183	0.0150	0.0123	0.0101	0.0082	0.0067
1	0.1712	0.1468	0.1257	0.1074	0.0916	0.0780	0.0663	0.0563	0.0477	0.0404
2	0.3799	0.3397	0.3027	0.2689	0.2381	0.2104	0.1851	0.1626	0.1425	0.1247
3	0.6025	0.5584	0.5152	0.4735	0.4335	0.3954	0.3594	0.3257	0.2942	0.2650
4	0.7806	0.7442	0.7064	0.6678	0.6288	0.5898	0.5512	0.5132	0.4763	0.4405
5	0.8946	0.8705	0.8441	0.8156	0.7851	0.7531	0.7199	0.6858	0.6510	0.6160
6	0.9534	0.9421	0.9267	0.9091	0.8893	0.8675	0.8436	0.8180	0.7908	0.7622
7	0.9832	0.9769	0.9682	0.9599	0.9509	0.9409	0.9299	0.9179	0.9049	0.8907
8	0.9943	0.9917	0.9883	0.9840	0.9786	0.9721	0.9642	0.9549	0.9442	0.9319
9	0.9982	0.9973	0.9960	0.9942	0.9919	0.9889	0.9851	0.9805	0.9749	0.9682
10	0.9995	0.9992	0.9987	0.9981	0.9972	0.9959	0.9943	0.9922	0.9896	0.9863
11	0.9999	0.9998	0.9996	0.9994	0.9991	0.9986	0.9980	0.9971	0.9960	0.9945
12	1.0000	0.9999	0.9999	0.9999	0.9998	0.9997	0.9996	0.9993	0.9990	0.9986
13	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.9999	0.9998	0.9997	0.9995	0.9993
14	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.9999	0.9999	0.9999	0.9998
15	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.9999
16	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
17	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
18	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
19	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
20	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
21	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
22	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000

Table for Poisson random variable $P(Po(\mu) \leq k)$.

k	μ																
	9.0	9.5	10.0	11.0	12.0	13.0	14.0	15.0	16.0	17.0							
0	0.0001	0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000							
1	0.0012	0.0008	0.0005	0.0002	0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000							
2	0.0062	0.0042	0.0028	0.0012	0.0005	0.0002	0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000							
3	0.0212	0.0149	0.0103	0.0049	0.0023	0.0011	0.0005	0.0002	0.0001	0.0000							
4	0.0550	0.0403	0.0293	0.0151	0.0076	0.0037	0.0018	0.0009	0.0004	0.0002							
5	0.1157	0.0885	0.0671	0.0375	0.0203	0.0107	0.0055	0.0028	0.0014	0.0007							
6	0.2068	0.1649	0.1301	0.0786	0.0458	0.0259	0.0142	0.0076	0.0040	0.0021							
7	0.3239	0.2687	0.2202	0.1432	0.0895	0.0540	0.0316	0.0180	0.0100	0.0054							
8	0.4557	0.3918	0.3328	0.2320	0.1550	0.0998	0.0621	0.0374	0.0220	0.0126							
9	0.5874	0.5218	0.4579	0.3405	0.2424	0.1658	0.1094	0.0699	0.0433	0.0261							
10	0.7060	0.6453	0.5830	0.4599	0.3472	0.2517	0.1757	0.1185	0.0774	0.0491							
11	0.8030	0.7520	0.6968	0.5793	0.4616	0.3532	0.2600	0.1848	0.1270	0.0847							
12	0.8758	0.8364	0.7916	0.6887	0.5760	0.4631	0.3585	0.2676	0.1931	0.1350							
13	0.9261	0.8981	0.8645	0.7813	0.6815	0.5730	0.4644	0.3632	0.2745	0.2009							
14	0.9585	0.9400	0.9165	0.8540	0.7720	0.6751	0.5704	0.4657	0.3675	0.2808							
15	0.9780	0.9665	0.9513	0.9074	0.8444	0.7636	0.6694	0.5681	0.4667	0.3715							
16	0.9889	0.9823	0.9730	0.9441	0.8987	0.8355	0.7559	0.6641	0.5660	0.4677							
17	0.9947	0.9911	0.9857	0.9678	0.9370	0.8905	0.8272	0.7489	0.6593	0.5640							
18	0.9976	0.9957	0.9928	0.9823	0.9626	0.9302	0.8826	0.8195	0.7423	0.6550							
19	0.9989	0.9980	0.9965	0.9907	0.9787	0.9573	0.9235	0.8752	0.8122	0.7363							
20	0.9996	0.9991	0.9984	0.9953	0.9884	0.9750	0.9521	0.9170	0.8682	0.8055							
21	0.9998	0.9996	0.9993	0.9977	0.9939	0.9859	0.9712	0.9469	0.9108	0.8615							
22	0.9999	0.9999	0.9997	0.9990	0.9970	0.9924	0.9833	0.9673	0.9418	0.9047							
23	1.0000	0.9999	0.9999	0.9995	0.9985	0.9960	0.9907	0.9805	0.9633	0.9367							
24	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.9998	0.9993	0.9980	0.9950	0.9888	0.9777	0.9594							
25	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.9999	0.9990	0.9974	0.9938	0.9869	0.9748							
26	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.9999	0.9995	0.9987	0.9967	0.9925	0.9848							
27	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.9999	0.9998	0.9994	0.9983	0.9959	0.9912							
28	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.9999	0.9997	0.9991	0.9978	0.9950							
29	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.9999	0.9996	0.9994	0.9986							
30	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.9999	0.9999	0.9997	0.9993							
31	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.9999	0.9999	0.9996							
32	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.9999	0.9999	0.9996							
33	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.9999	0.9999	0.9999							
34	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.9999							
35	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000							