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(4p) 1: Do the following
a) What does it mean that a matrix $Q$ is orthogonal? Give the precise definition. Also show that $\|Q x\|_{2}=\|x\|_{2}$, for all vectors $x$, if $Q$ is orthogonal.
b) State the definition of the matrix norm which is induced from the vector norm $\|\cdot\|_{2}$. Also show that $\|Q\|_{2}=1$ for this particular norm if $Q$ is orthogonal.
c) Let $A=Q_{1} R$ be the reduced $Q R$ decomposition of a full rank matrix of dimension $m \times n$, where $m>n$. Show that $P=I-Q_{1} Q_{1}^{T}$ is an orthogonal projection such that $P b=r$, where $r=b-A x$ is the residual and $x$ is the solution to the least squares problem min $\|b-A x\|_{2}$.
(4p) 2: Let,

$$
f(x)=\binom{2 x_{1}+x_{2}+\left(1+x_{2}\right)^{2}-1}{\left(3 x_{1}+1\right) x_{2}-1}
$$

a) Compute the Jacobian $J_{f}$ and formulate the Newton method for finding a root of the equation $f(x)=0$.
b) Let $x^{(0)}=(0,0)^{T}$ and perform one step of the Newton metod and compute the next iterate $x^{(1)}$.
(4p) 3: Let

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
4.3 & 0.7 & -0.3 \\
-1.2 & 7.8 & -0.2 \\
-0.7 & 0.4 & -4.2
\end{array}\right)
$$

Do the following
a) Use the Gershgorin theorem to find as good approximations of the eigenvalues as possible.
b) Determine if the matrix $A$ is non-singular. Also is the Gerschgorin theorem sufficient to prove that the eigenvalues are real?
c) Let $v_{1}$ be one of the eigenvectors of $A$ and let $B=A+s v_{1} v_{1}^{T}$. Can you prove that $v_{1}$ is also an eigenvector of $B$ ? Also let $v_{2}$ be another eigenvector of $A$. Is $v_{2}$ also an eigenvector of $B$ ? Motivate your answer.
(4p) 4: Any matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, m>n$, has a singular value decomposition $A=U \Sigma V^{T}$. Do the following:
a) Consider a linear system $A x=b, m>n$, where $\operatorname{rank}(A)=k<n$. Use the SVD to a basis for the both the range Range $(A)$ and its orthogonal complement. Also give a criteria that guarantees that a solution to the linear system exists. Your criteria should be expressen in terms of the basis vectors and the vector $b$. Also the criteria should be efficient to check for the case when $k \approx n \approx m$.
b) Consider the linear system $A^{T} x=b$, where as before $\operatorname{rank}(A)=k<n$. Provide a criteria for existance of a solution to the linear system expressed in terms of $b$ and the singular vectors. Also write down the formula for the solution $x$. Is the solution unique? Motivate clearly.
(4p) 5: Do the following:
a) Clearly demonstrate how the Hessenberg decomposition $H=Q A Q^{T}$ can be computed using Householder reflections. You have to specify which elements of the matrix are used to create each reflection. It is enough to consider the $4 \times 4$ case.
b) Let $H=Q A Q^{T}$ be a Hessenberg decomposition. Show that $A$ and $H$ have the same eigenvalues.
(5p) 6: Any matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ can be factorized as $A=Q T Q^{H}$, where $Q$ is unitary and $T$ upper triangular. This is called the Schur decomposition and is mainly of theoretical importance. Do the following:
a) Let $(x, \lambda)$ be an eigenpair of $A$. The first step in the existence proof for the Shur decomposition consists of finding an orthogonal matrix $V_{1}$ such that

$$
V_{1}^{T} A V_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda & w^{T} \\
0 & B
\end{array}\right)
$$

Clearly explain how to construct such a matrix $V_{1}$ and show that it the product $V_{1}^{T} A V_{1}$ has the desired structure.
b) Use the Schur decomposition to prove that any real symmetric matrix $A$ has orthogonal eigenvectors.
c) A matrix $B$ is called non-defective if it has a full set of eigenvectors, i.e. the decomposition $B=X D X^{-1}$ exists. Use the Shur decomposition to prove that if $A$ is defective then for any $\varepsilon>0$ there is a non-defective matrix $B$ such that $\|A-B\|_{2} \leq \varepsilon$.

Remark From c) we conclude that if a matrix is supposed to be defective and we compute a numerical approximation it is likely that the matrix turns out to be non-defective due to round-off errors.

## Lösningsförslag till tentan 22:a Mars 2023.

1: For $\mathbf{a}$ ) we state that a matrix $Q$ is orthogonal if it is quadratic, i.e. the dimension is $n \times n$, and if $Q^{T} Q=I$, where $I$ is the identity matrix. The second part follows from Vert $Q x\left\|_{2}^{2}=(Q x)^{T}(Q x)=x^{T} Q^{T} Q x=x^{T} x=\right\| x \|_{2}^{2}$.
For $\mathbf{b}$ ) we state that the induced matrix norm is given by

$$
\|A\|=\max _{x \neq 0} \frac{\|A x\|}{\|x\|}
$$

where $\|\cdot\|$, in the righthandside, is any vector norm. This means

$$
\|Q\|_{2}=\max _{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Q x\|_{2}}{\|x\|_{2}}=\max _{x \neq 0} \frac{\|x\|_{2}}{\|x\|_{2}}=1 .
$$

For $\mathbf{c}$ ) we note that the solution of the least squares problem min $\|A x-b\|_{2}$ is given by $x=R^{-1} Q_{1}^{T} b$. This means that

$$
r=b-A x=b-\left(Q_{1} R\right)\left(R^{-1} Q_{1}^{T} b\right)=b-Q_{1} Q_{1}^{T} b=\left(I-Q_{1} Q_{1}^{T}\right) b=P b .
$$

2: For a) we recall that $\left(J_{f}\right)_{i j}(x)=\left(\partial_{x_{j}} f_{i}(x)\right)$. Thus

$$
J_{f}(x)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 & 1+2\left(1+x_{2}\right) \\
3 x_{2} & 3 x_{1}+1
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)^{T}$. For b) we evaluate $f\left(x^{(0)}\right)=f\left((0,0)^{T}\right)=(0,-1)^{T}$, and

$$
J_{f}\left((0,0)^{T}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 3 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

In the Newton step we first solve the linear system $J_{f} s^{(0)}=-f\left(x^{(0)}\right.$, or

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 3 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) s^{(0)}=\binom{0}{1},
$$

which gives $s^{(0}=(-1.5,1)$. Thus $x^{(1)}=x^{(0)}+s^{(0)}=(-1.5,1)^{T}$.

3: For a) we need to find the Gershgorin discs

$$
\left|\lambda_{1}-4.3\right| \leq 1, \quad\left|\lambda_{2}-7.8\right| \leq 1.4 \text { and }\left|\lambda_{3}+4.2\right| \leq 1.1
$$

For b) we note that the discs are disjoint which means all eigenvalues are real since if an eigenvalue $\lambda$ were complex also its complex conjugate $\bar{\lambda}$ would be an eigenvalue. This holds for real matrices. This is not consistent with one eigenvalue in each disc. Also 0 is not in any of the discs so is not an eigenvalue. Thus the matrix is non-singular. For $\mathbf{c}$ ) we have

$$
B v_{1}=\left(A+s v_{1} v_{1}^{T}\right) v_{1}=A v_{1}+s\left(v_{1}^{T} v_{1}\right) v_{1}=\lambda_{1} v_{1}+s v_{1}=\left(\lambda_{1}+s\right) v_{1} .
$$

If we try another eigenvecvtor $v_{2}$ this does not work since

$$
B v_{2}=\left(A+s v_{1} v_{1}^{T}\right) v_{2}=\lambda_{2} v_{2}+s\left(v_{1}^{T} v_{2}\right) v_{1}=\alpha v_{1},
$$

only for the case $v_{1}^{T} v_{2}=0$. However since $A$ is not symmetric there is no guarantee that the eigenvectors are orthogonal.

4: For a) we remark that we can write $A$ in the form

$$
A=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sigma_{i} u_{i} v_{i}^{T}
$$

Here we clearly see that $\operatorname{Range}(A)=\operatorname{span}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}\right)$. The orthogonal complement is Range $(A)^{\perp}=\operatorname{span}\left(u_{k+1}, \ldots, u_{m}\right)$. Existance of solution means that $b \in \operatorname{Range}(A)$ which means $b$ doesn't have a component in Range $(A)^{\perp}$. For large $k$ the easiest way to check this is $u_{i}^{T} b=0$, for $i=k+1, \ldots, m$.
For $\mathbf{b}$ ) we simply apply the transpose to the above formula for $A$ to obtain

$$
A^{T}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sigma_{i} v_{i} u_{i}^{T} .
$$

This means that now we have Range $\left(A^{T}\right)=\operatorname{span}\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right)$. A criteria for existance is thus $v_{i}^{T} b=0$, for $i=k+1, \ldots, n$. If this criteria is satisfied we can write

$$
b=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(v_{i}^{T} b\right) v_{i}=A^{T} x=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sigma_{i}\left(u_{i}^{T} x\right) v_{i} .
$$

Identifying coefficients gives us $v_{i}^{T} b=\sigma_{i}\left(u_{i}^{T} x\right)$, for $i=1, \ldots, k$. We can express $x$ in the basis $\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m}\right\}$ so

$$
x=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(u_{i}^{T} x\right) u_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{v_{i}^{T} b}{\sigma_{i}} u_{i}+\sum_{i=k+1}^{m} c_{i} u_{i}
$$

where $c_{i}$ are free parameters. The solution is not unique.

5: For a) we illustrate the algorithm as follows: First we use the same reflection $H_{1}$ applied from the left and from the right. The reflection is selected so the elements $A(3: 4,1)$ are set to zero. We get

$$
H_{1}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
x & x & x & x \\
x & x & x & x \\
x & x & x & x \\
x & x & x & x
\end{array}\right) H_{1}^{T}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
x & x & x & x \\
+ & + & + & + \\
0 & + & + & + \\
0 & + & + & +
\end{array}\right) H_{1}^{T}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
x & + & + & + \\
x & + & + & + \\
0 & + & + & + \\
0 & + & + & +
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Second we find a reflection $H_{2}$ that zeroes out the element $A(4,2)$. We get

$$
H_{2}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
x & x & x & x \\
x & x & x & x \\
0 & x & x & x \\
0 & x & x & x
\end{array}\right) H_{2}^{T}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
x & x & x & x \\
x & x & x & x \\
0 & + & + & + \\
0 & 0 & + & +
\end{array}\right) H_{2}^{T}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
x & x & + & + \\
x & x & + & + \\
0 & x & + & + \\
0 & 0 & + & +
\end{array}\right),
$$

which is Hessenberg. For b) we assume that $(x, \lambda)$ is an eigen pair of $A$ and $A=Q H Q^{T}$. Then $Q H Q^{T} x=\lambda x$ or $H\left(Q^{T} x\right)=\lambda\left(Q^{T} x\right)$. Thus $\lambda$ is also an eigen value of $H$. The new eigen vector is $y=Q^{T} x$. Similarily an eigenvalue of $H$ is also an eigenvalue of $A$.

6: For a) We have the eigenpair $(\lambda, x)$. If we compute the full $Q R$ decomposition of $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$ we obtain an orthogonal matrix suxch that $Q=\left(x, Q_{2}\right)$, where $Q_{2}^{H} x=0$. This is assuming that $\left\|x_{1}\right\|_{2}=1$. We find that

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q^{H} A Q=\left(x, Q_{2}\right)^{T} A\left(x, Q_{2}\right)=\left(x, Q_{2}\right)^{H}\left(A x, A Q_{2}\right)=\left(x, Q_{2}\right)^{H}\left(\lambda x, A Q_{2}\right)= \\
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda x^{H} x & x^{H} A Q_{2} \\
\lambda Q_{2}^{H} x & Q_{2}^{H} A Q_{2}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda & w^{H} \\
0 & B
\end{array}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

where we have the correct structure. For b) we simply note that $A^{H}=\left(Q T Q^{H}\right)^{H}=$ $Q T^{H} Q^{H}$. For symmetric matrices, i.e. $A$ real and $A^{T}=A$, we thus get $A^{T}=A^{H}=$ $Q T^{H} Q^{H}=A=Q T Q^{H}$. Thus $T^{H}=T$ which means that $T$ is a diagonal since we already knew that $T$ is upper triangular. Also the diagonal elements satisfy $(T)_{i i}=(T)_{i i}$ which means the elements on the diagonal are real. Since the diagonal elements of $T$ are also the eigenvalues of $A$ this shows that the eigenvalues are real.
For c) we assume that $A$ is defective and compute its Shur decomposition $A=$ $Q T Q^{H}$. For $A$ to be defective it has to have at least one eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$ with an algebraic multiplicity $\gamma_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)$ strictly larger than the geometric multiplicity $\gamma_{2}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)$. Thus, if all diagonal elements of $T$ were different then the matrix $A$ would be nondefective. Thus we pick a diagonal matrix $D=\operatorname{diag}\left(\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{n}\right)$ so that $T+D$ has unique diagonal elements. Then $B=Q(T+D) Q^{H}$ is non-defective and $\|A-B\|_{2}=$ $\|D\|_{2} \leq \max \left|\epsilon_{i}\right|=\epsilon$.

