Solutions to exercises for TATA55, batch 2, 2023

October 10, 2023

1. (4p) How many subgroups of size k are there in C_n ?

Solution: By Lagrange, unless k | n there are no subgroups of size k. If $C_n = \langle g \rangle$ and n = mk, then $o(g^m) = k$. Thus there exists at least one subgroup $H = \langle g^m \rangle$ of size k. The elements of H all have orders dividing k. In particular, $\varphi(k)$ of them have order k.

Note that any subgroup K of C_n is cyclic, hence generated by g^{ℓ} , which have order $\ell n/\gcd(\ell, n)$. If K is to have order k, then $n/\gcd(\ell, n) = k = n/m$ hence $m = \gcd(\ell, mk) = m$ hence $1 = \gcd(\ell/m, k)$. There are again $\varphi(k)$ possible generators of such a subgroups; therefore, all of them lie in H. Hence K = H; there is but one cyclic subgroup of a given size.

Alternative proof: $o(g^{d_1}) = o(g^{d_2}) = k \text{ so } n/\gcd(n, d_1) = n/\gcd(n, d_2)$, hence $r = \gcd(n, d_1) = \gcd(n, d_2)$. We claim that $g^{d_1} \in \langle g^{d_2} \rangle$, i.e. that $d_1 \equiv sd_2 \mod n$. This is equivalent to the Diophantine equation $d_1 + tn = sd_2 \text{ or } sd_2 - tn = d_1$ which is solvable since $\gcd(d_2, n) = r$ divides d_1 .

Alternative proof 2: We show that $\langle g^m \rangle = \langle g^d \rangle$, where d = gcd(m, n). Since d | m, we get $g^m \in \langle g^d \rangle$. For the converse, use Bezout to get d = am + bn. Then $g^d = g^{am+bn} = (g^m)^a * (g^n)^b = (g^m)^a \in \langle g^m \rangle$.

In conclusion, the number of subgroups of size k is 1 if $k \mid n$ and zero otherwise.

2. (6p) Same question for the dihedral group D_n (partial credit for partial results).

Solution: Let $r, s \in D_n$ be rotation by 1/n'th of a lap, and reflection in the x-axis, respectively. Then D_n is generated by r, s, with relations $r^n = s^2 = 1$, $sr = r^{n-1}s$, and every element can be uniquely written as either 1, r^k , $1 \le k \le n - 1$, rotations, or $r^k s$, $0 \le k \le n - 1$, reflections.

The reflections form a cyclic subgroup with n elements, so any subgroup with just rotations is of the form $\langle r^d \rangle$ with d |n, and there is exactly one for each d, by the previous exercise. Thus, for any d that divides n, there is a unique rotation subgroup with n/d elements.

Suppose now that the subgroup $K \leq D_n$ contains a reflection. For simplicity, assume that this reflection is s (the general case can be deduced from this case). Then $K \cap \langle r \rangle$ is a subgroup of $\langle r \rangle$, and thus $\langle r^d \rangle$, with d | n. Clearly $\langle r^d, s \rangle \subseteq K$. We claim that the reverse inclusion holds, as well.

To prove this, pick any $k \in K$. If k is a rotation then $k \in \langle r \rangle \cap K = \langle r^d \rangle$. If k is a reflection, then either it is s, and we are done, or it is some other reflection, i.e. $k = r^j s$. But $s \in K$ so $ks \in K$ since K is a subgroup, hence closed under multiplication, so $ks = r^j ss = r^j \in K$. Then since this a rotation, it lies in $\langle r \rangle \cap K = \langle r^d \rangle$, so $r^j = r^{d\ell}$ for some ℓ . But then

$$\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{r}^{\mathbf{j}}\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{r}^{\mathbf{d}\ell}\mathbf{s} = (\mathbf{r}^{\mathbf{d}})^{\ell}\mathbf{s} \in \langle \mathbf{r}^{\mathbf{d}}, \mathbf{s} \rangle.$$

The 2n/d elements of K are

$$r^{d}, r^{2d}, \dots, r^{n} = 1, r^{d}s, r^{2d}s, \dots, r^{n}s = s$$

For the general case, i.e. K contains some reflection $\tilde{s} = r^j s$ different from s but not s itself, we use the fact (I think this is mentioned in Svensson?) that D_n can be generated by r, \tilde{s} , and the relations are the same! Then by the previous result, $K = \langle r^d, \tilde{s} \rangle = \langle r^d, r^j s \rangle$. The number of elements of $\langle r^d, r^j s \rangle$ is of course 2n/d, since these elements are

$$r^d, r^{2d}, \ldots, r^n = 1, r^d \tilde{s}, r^{2d} \tilde{s}, \ldots, r^n \tilde{s} = \tilde{s}$$

A bit trickier to prove is the fact that

$$\langle r^d, r^i s \rangle = \langle r^d, r^j s \rangle \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad i \equiv j \mod d$$

It is true, however, so we may assume that $0 \le j \le d - 1$.

So, for each divisor d of n, there is one rotational subgroup $\langle r^d \rangle$ of order n/d, and d "dihedral" subgroups $\langle r^d, r^i s \rangle$ of order 2n/d. For instance, in D₅ there is 1 subgroup of size 5, 1 of size 10, 1 of size 1, and 5 of size 2.

3. (4p) Let G be a group, and suppose that $a^2 = 1$ for all $a \in G$. Show that G is abelian. On the other hand, show that the relations $a^3 = b^3 = 1$ for a group G generated by a, b does not imply that the group is abelian.

Solution: In the first case, take $x, y \in G$. Then $x^2 = y^2 = 1$ so $x^{-1} = x$, $y^{-1} = y$, and

$$1 = (xy)^2 = xyxy = xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$$

so

yx = xy.

Secondly, take g = (1,2,3) h = (2,3,4), $G = \langle g,h \rangle \leq S_4$. Then $g^3 = h^3 = 1$ but $gh \neq hg$.

- 4. (5p) Denote the adjacent transposition (j, j + 1) by s_j .
 - (a) Show that the set $\{s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}\}$ generate S_n .
 - (b) Find the relations (including self-relations) among these generators.

- (c) Show that the set of all $t_{ij} = s_i s_j$ generate A_n .
- (d) Show that the set of all $u_j = (12j)$ generate A_n .
- (e) Find the relations between the u_j 's.

Solution: It is shown in the textbook that the s_j generate S_n , see that. The relations are $s_j^2 = 1$, so $s_i^{-1} = s_i$, and $s_i s_j = s_j s_i$ when |i - j| > 1, and finally $s_i s_{i+1} s_i = s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1}$. It is enough to find and verify these relations, you need no prove that they generate all relations.

From the theorem about the well-definedness of signs of permutations we know that any even permutation is a product of an even number of transpositions; thus by the first part, it is the product of an even number of s_i 's, thus a product of t_{ij} 's.

It is known (see e.g. Svensson 10.43) that every even permutation is the product of 3cycles. Thus, it is enough to show that every 3-cycle is the product of u_j 's.

We have that $u_i^{-1} = u_i^2$ and

$$\begin{split} &(1,2,j) = u_j \\ &(1,j,2) = u_j^{-1} = u_j^2 \\ &(1,j,k) = u_k u_j^{-1} = (1,2,k)(1,j,2) \\ &(2,j,k) = u_k^{-1} u_j = (1,k,2)(1,2,j) \\ &(i,j,k) = (1,k,i)(1,i,j) = u_i u_k^{-1} u_j u_i^{-1} \end{split}$$

So every 3-cycle is indeed a product of u_i 's.

It is easy enough to find that the following words in the u_j 's correspond to the identity permutation: $u_i^3 = (1, 2, j)^3$ and

$$(u_i u_j)^2 = (1, 2, i)(1, 2, j)(1, 2, i)(1, 2, j).$$

SAGEMATH indicates that these relations generate all relations, but I have not proved this.

5. (3p, a bit harder) Let $\sigma \in S_n$ be a permutation of cycle type $\lambda = [\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r]$. Let $V = \mathbb{C}^n$ with canonical basis $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$ and denote by $T_{\sigma} : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ the linear map that satisfies $T_{\sigma}(\mathbf{e}_j) = \mathbf{e}_{\sigma(j)}$. What are the eigenvalues of T_{σ} ? Start with the case where σ is k-cycle.

Solution: If $\sigma = (1, 2, ..., n)$ then let $\xi = \exp(2\pi i/n)$ the standard primitive n'th root of unity. For $0 \le k < n$, define the vector

$$v_k = (1, \xi^k, \xi^{2k}, \dots, \xi^{(n-1)k}).$$

Then

$$\xi^{k}\nu_{k} = (\xi^{k}, \xi^{2k}, \dots, \xi^{(n-1)k}, \xi^{nk}) = (\xi^{k}, \xi^{2k}, \dots, \xi^{(n-1)k}, 1) = T_{\sigma}(\nu_{k})$$

so this is an eigenvector with corresponding eigenvalue ξ^k . We have found n different eigenvalues, they are all there is.

If σ is the product of disjoint cycles $\sigma = \prod_j \gamma_j$ then $T_{\sigma} = \prod_j T_{\gamma_j}$. Each T_{γ_j} fixes the subspace of V spanned by the e_i 's with i in the fixpointset of γ_i , and has as an invariant subspace the subspace spanned by the e_i 's with i not in the fixpointset of γ_i .

Thus, after a simultaneous permutation of the rows and columns of the matrix of T_{σ} it has a diagonal block shape, where each block correspond to the matrix of γ_i . Thus the set of eigenvalues of T_{σ} is the union of all k_i 'th roots of unity, where the k_i 's are the cycle lengths.